UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,080	02/25/2004	Shushi Ikeda	248711US0	8533
	7590 03/27/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	7 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER YEE, DEBORAH	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			YEE, DEBORAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1742		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	03/27/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/27/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,080	IKEDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah Yee	1742	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three-months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	January 2007.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the r	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	·		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicatio	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-14 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	bjected to by the Examine	er.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority docume			
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been	received in this National S	stage
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-24-06.		formal Patent Application	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/785,080

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 7 to 14 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 9-6-06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 2001-220641 or Japanese patent 2001-220648 for the reasons set forth in the previous office action dated 10/16/06.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 1-16-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. It was argued that JP'641 and JP'648 are directed to steel sheet having good ductility, stretch flangeability and elongation property. In contrast, the present invention is directed to reducing in forged material the large austenite generated during the process of forging. Because the cited prior art is for steel sheet, the cited prior art does

Application/Control Number: 10/785,080

Art Unit: 1742

not need to consider such problems as are generated in forged material. Because the cited prior art and the present invention are directed to such different purposes, the precise morphology of the structures generated should be different.

6. It is the examiner's position that even though present invention concept is not taught by prior art, the prior art steel still discloses a hot rolled steel sheet having a composition and phase structure which are essentially the same as recited by the claims for the reasons set forth in the previous office action dated 10/16/06. Moreover, rolling and forging are patentability equivalent since they both deform metal. In addition, prior art would suggest forging as a future step for forming a final steel product. Note English translation of JP'641 in paragraphs 1 and 6 teaches inventing a steel plate for the formation of automotive body components by press working at high loads (equivalent to forging) and to overcome the spring back and dimension accuracy that are problematic to the industry. Also the English abstract of JP'648 teaches a steel having excellent stretch flanging which makes steel more elastic and amenable to stretching and bending; and hence conducive and desirable for forging

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/785,080

Art Unit: 1742

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-27211253. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday 6:00am-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deborah Yee

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742